

Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Patients with Gestational Trophoblastic Malignancy

Protocol applies to all gestational trophoblastic malignancies.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition, and FIGO 2006 Annual Report

Protocol web posting date: October 2009

Procedures

- Dilatation and Curettage
- Resection

Authors

Blaise A. Clarke, MBBCh, FRCPC*

Department of Pathology, University of Toronto, Toronto General Hospital,
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Michael T. Deavers, MD, FCAP

Department of Pathology, University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Centre,
Houston, Texas

Janice M. Lage, MD, FCAP

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of South
Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina

Esther Oliva, MD, FCAP

Department of Pathology, Harvard University, Massachusetts General Hospital,
Boston, Massachusetts

Kumarasen Cooper, MBChB, DPhil, FRCPath[†]

Department of Pathology, University of Vermont, Fletcher Allen Health Care,
Burlington, Vermont

For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

* denotes primary author. † denotes senior author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

Previous contributors: Saeid Movahedi-Lankarani, MD; Donald E. Henson, MD;
Enrique Hernandez, MD; Maureen Killacky, MD; Beverly B. Kramer, MD; Rachelle Lanciano, MD;
Stanley J. Robboy, MD; Steven G. Ruby, MD; Robert E. Scully, MD; Steven G. Silverberg, MD;
Richard Zaino, MD

© 2009 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the Protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from CAP. Applications for such a license should be addressed to the SNOMED Terminology Solutions division of the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified Protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the checklist elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.

CAP Trophoblast Protocol Revision History

Version Code

The definition of the version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: Trophoblast 3.0.0.0

Summary of Changes

No changes have been made since the October 2009 release.

Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: October 2009

TROPHOBLAST: Dilation and Curettage, Resection

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Specimen (select all that apply) (Note A)

- Uterus
 Other (specify): _____
 Not specified

Procedure

- Dilation and curettage
 Hysterectomy
 Radical hysterectomy
 Pelvic exenteration
 Other (specify): _____
 Not specified

Tumor Site

- Specify, if known: _____
 Not specified

Tumor Size

- Greatest dimension: ____ cm
*Additional dimensions: ____ x ____ cm
 Cannot be determined (See Comment)

Histologic Type (Notes B and C)

- Hydatidiform mole, complete
 Hydatidiform mole, partial
 Hydatidiform mole, invasive
 Choriocarcinoma
 Placental site trophoblastic tumor
 Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor
 Other (specify type): _____
 Malignant trophoblastic tumor, type cannot be determined

* Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Microscopic Tumor Extension (select all that apply)

- Not applicable
 Tumor confined to uterus
 Tumor extends outside of the uterus but is limited to genital structures
 Tumor extends to fallopian tube
 Tumor extends to ovary
 Tumor extends to broad ligament
 Tumor extends to vagina
 Tumor extends to other nongenital organs or structures (specify): _____
 Specify organ(s) with separate metastasis: _____

Margins

- Cannot be assessed
 Uninvolved by malignant tumor
 Distance of malignant tumor from closest margin: ____ mm
 Specify margin: _____
 Involved by malignant tumor
 Specify margin(s): _____

Lymph-Vascular Invasion

- Not identified
 Present
 Indeterminate

Fetal Tissue (Macroscopic or Microscopic)

- Cannot be determined
 Not identified
 Present
 *Specify type: _____

Fetal Anomalies

- Not applicable
 Cannot be determined
 Not identified
 Present
 *Specify type: _____

Pathologic Staging (pTNM [FIGO]) (Note D)**TNM Descriptors** (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)

- m (multiple)
 r (recurrent)
 y (post-treatment)

Primary Tumor (pT)

- pTX [--]: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
 pT0 [--]: No evidence of primary tumor
 pT1 [I]: Tumor confined to uterus
 pT2 [II]: Tumor extends outside of the uterus but is limited to the genital structures
 (adnexa, vagina, broad ligament)

* Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Distant Metastasis (pM)

Not applicable

pM1a [III]: Tumor extends to the lungs with or without genital tract involvement

pM1b [IV]: Tumor involves other metastatic sites

Specify number of metastases, if known:

1-4

5-8

>8

Specify sites of metastases (select all that apply):

Lung

Spleen

Kidney

Gastrointestinal tract

Liver

Brain

***Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply)**

* None identified

* Implantation site

* Other (specify): _____

***Ancillary Studies**

*Specify: _____

***Clinical History**

*Specify: _____

***Comment(s)**

* Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Explanatory Notes

A. Previous History

Previous slides should be reviewed by the pathologist if it is deemed necessary by the gynecologist or pathologist for optimal evaluation of the specimen.

B. Histologic Type

A modified World Health Organization (WHO) classification of gestational trophoblastic lesions is as follows:¹⁻⁵

Histologic Classification of Gestational Trophoblastic Lesions

Hydatidiform mole

 Complete[#]

 Partial^{##}

Invasive hydatidiform mole

Choriocarcinoma

Placental site trophoblastic tumor^{###}

Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor^{6,###}

Trophoblastic lesions, miscellaneous

 Exaggerated placental site[^]

 Placental site nodule^{^^}

Unclassified trophoblastic lesions^{^^^}

[#] Usually diploid, 46 chromosomes; most commonly no fetal tissues unless with a twin gestation; villi markedly enlarged, hydropic, central cistern; prominent trophoblastic hyperplasia.

^{##} Usually triploid, 69 chromosomes; fetal tissues present; villi scalloped, have stromal trophoblastic inclusions; focal trophoblastic hyperplasia, usually of syncytiotrophoblast.

^{###} Malignant tumor of intermediate trophoblast.

[^] Benign lesion composed of seemingly increased intermediate trophoblast at the implantation site, most commonly seen in uterine curettage specimens. These lesions are benign and do not require staging.

^{^^} Retention of nodule(s) of benign intermediate trophoblast. These lesions are generally benign and do not require staging. However, placental site nodules have been described in association with epithelioid trophoblastic tumors.⁶ Furthermore, there is a morphological continuum, and atypical placental site nodules present with equivocal morphological features, being larger and showing greater cellularity than is typically seen in a placental site nodule but having insufficient features for a diagnosis of epithelioid trophoblastic tumor. Cyclin E is useful in the distinction of placental site nodule and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor, with the former showing focal, weak nuclear staining, whereas the latter typically shows diffuse (>50% of tumor nuclei) intense staining. Atypical placental site nodules may show elevated cyclin E staining.⁷

^{^^^} Composite or mixed trophoblastic lesions are recognized. Epithelioid trophoblastic tumors have been described coexistent with placental site nodule and with placental site trophoblastic tumor and choriocarcinoma either alone or in combination.^{6,8} Rarely, a

placental site nodule and placental site trophoblastic tumor may co-exist⁹. Rather than specifying the “Histological Type” as “Unclassified,” we would recommend classifying composite lesions as “Other,” with further annotation of the different components.

C. Immunohistochemistry in Diagnosis of Gestational Trophoblastic Disease

Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction of Partial and Complete Hydatidiform Moles

The complete hydatidiform mole is an androgenic conceptus, having either 46, XX or 46, XY chromosomes. Due to lack of maternal DNA, only gene products derived from paternal DNA are expressed. P57^{kip2} is a paternally (differentially) imprinted, maternally expressed gene and thus shows differential expression in trophoblastic disease (table1). The gene resides on chromosome 11p15. In a complete hydatidiform mole, P57^{kip2} expression is absent or expressed at low levels in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells. Intermediate trophoblastic cells and decidualised stromal cells will be positive and are useful as positive internal controls. Rare cases of complete hydatidiform mole with aberrant (retained) p57 expression, attributable to trisomy of chromosome 11, have been described.¹⁰

In a partial hydatidiform mole, P57^{kip2} is strongly expressed in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells.

Table 1. P57^{kip2} in Partial and Complete Hydatidiform Moles

	Complete Hydatidiform Mole	Partial Hydatidiform Mole
P57 ^{kip2} nuclear stain	Absent or very low [#] in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells, but is present in intervillous islands and decidualised stromal cells	Strong expression in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells

Adapted from Lage et al.¹¹

[#] Some studies have used cutoff values for p57 staining. In a recent study by McConnell et al,¹⁰ semiquantitative assessment of staining in the villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells was performed, with 0%-10% regarded as negative, >10% but <50% as equivocal, and a positive result was reported when >50% of these cells were positive. They emphasized that most cases were readily interpreted as positive or negative. Three equivocal cases were encountered that were shown to be partial hydatidiform moles by molecular genotyping. Although uncommon, they recommend ancillary testing when an equivocal staining pattern is encountered.

The molar implantation site may have a Ki-67 index of 5.2% ± 4%.¹²

Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction of Exaggerated Placental Site Reaction, Placental Site Nodule, Placental Site Trophoblastic Tumor, Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor, and Choriocarcinoma

Work by Kurman and Shih¹² has dissected the subpopulations of trophoblast that give rise to trophoblast tumors and tumorlike lesions. It is proposed that exaggerated placental site and placental site trophoblastic tumor arise from implantation site intermediate trophoblast, whereas placental site nodule and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor arise from chorionic-type intermediate trophoblast. A panel of immunohistochemical stains (table 2) is recommended to distinguish these entities.

Table 2. Immunohistochemical Studies in Exaggerated Placental Site Reaction, Placental Site Trophoblastic Tumor, Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor, and Choriocarcinoma

	Exaggerated Placental Site	Placental Site Nodule	Placental Site Trophoblastic Tumor	Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor	Choriocarcinoma
Mel-Cam (CD146) (membranous) [#]	75%-100%	0%-2%	75%-100%	0%-2%	6%-75%
hPL	75%-100%	0%-2%	25%-75% ^{##}	0%-2%	Positive in IT and ST
β-HCG	0%-25% ^{###}	0%-25%	0%-25% ^{###}	0%-25%	Positive in ST
P63	Negative	>50%-75%	Negative	<25% up to 75% [^]	<25%
Ki-67 (MIB-1)	0%	3%-10%	>10%	>10%	69 ± 20%
Cyclin E		Focal		>50%	

IT, intermediate trophoblast; ST, syncytiotrophoblast; hPL Human Placental Lactogen; β-HCG, human chorionic gonadotrophin

[#] Mel-CAM, melanoma cell adhesion molecule, is a marker of intermediate trophoblast of implantation site origin. Percentages refer to percentage of immunopositive cells.

^{##} 12% of cases reported by Kalhor showed no staining for HPL.⁸

^{###} Mainly in multinucleate intermediate trophoblast

[^] 20% of cases reported by Kalhor showed no staining for p63.⁸

Adapted from Tsui-Lien M et al,⁷ Kalhor N et al,⁸ Shih IM et al.¹³

Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction of Intermediate Trophoblastic Tumors, Choriocarcinoma, and Cervical Carcinoma

Table 3. Immunohistochemical Staining Results for Intermediate Trophoblastic Tumors (ITT), Primary Cervical Carcinomas (CA), and Choriocarcinomas (CC)

	CD10 (%)	CD146 (%)	CK5/6 (%)	hCG (%)	p16 (%)	Inhibin (%)	hPL (%)	P63 (%)	CEA (%)	Pan-K (%)
ITT	100	73	13	87	53	40	60	40	33	100
CA	20	20	100	10	100	20	0	80	80	100
CC	100	70	---	100	---	85	45	70	---	100

The percentages refer to the number of cases expressing the marker.

PanK, Pankeratin (AE1/AE3); CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen

Adapted from Kalhor N et al.⁸

Additional Notes on Table 3

CD10: variable expression in ITTs and choriocarcinoma: 1% to 100% of cells staining.

P16: Cervical carcinomas showed diffuse nuclear staining for this marker. About half the ITTs had variable staining (1% to 75% of cells), mainly cytoplasmic.

CK5/6: All cervical carcinomas were positive, staining 26% to 100% of cells. Two cases of ITT were focally positive (<25% of cells).

General

A recent review has highlighted the most common diagnostic errors in trophoblastic lesions.¹⁴

1. Misinterpretation of early complete hydatidiform mole as partial mole.
2. Overdiagnosis of hydatidiform mole in tubal pregnancy because of florid appearance of normal early first-trimester trophoblastic proliferation.
3. Misdiagnosis of exuberant placental site nonvillous trophoblast as placental site trophoblastic tumor.
4. Misdiagnosis of nonvillous trophoblast, often seen in the context of complete hydatidiform mole, as choriocarcinoma or placental site trophoblastic tumor.

D. TNM and Stage Groupings

The 7th edition of the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC)^{3,4} and the corresponding updated 2006 edition of the staging system of the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO),⁵ are recommended, as shown below. Both are based not only on the anatomic extent of the tumor but on additional factors, including clinical and laboratory findings.

According to AJCC/UICC convention, the designation “T” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible. Gestational trophoblastic tumors do not have an N classification (see below).

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically infeasible) and if the highest T category or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

AJCC/UICC TNM Classification for Trophoblastic Tumors^{3,4}

Primary Tumor (T)

TX	Primary tumor cannot be assessed
T0	No evidence of primary tumor
T1	Tumor confined to uterus
T2	Tumor extends to other genital structures (vagina, ovary, broad ligament, fallopian tube) by metastasis or direct extension

Regional Lymph Nodes (N)

There is no regional nodal designation (N classification) in the staging of gestational trophoblastic tumors. Nodal involvement in these tumors is rare but has an extremely poor prognosis. Nodal metastases should be classified as metastatic M1b disease.

Distant Metastasis (M)

M0	No distant metastasis
M1	Distant metastasis
M1a	Lung metastasis [#]
M1b	All other distant metastasis [#]

[#] Genital metastasis (vagina, broad ligament, ovary, fallopian tube) is classified as T2. Direct invasion or metastasis to any nongenital structure is classified using the M classification.

FIGO Staging for Gestational Trophoblastic Tumors (2006)⁵

Stage I	Tumor confined to the uterus
IA	Tumor confined to the uterus with low-risk prognostic score
IB	Tumor confined to the uterus with high-risk prognostic score
Stage II	Tumor extends outside of the uterus but is limited to the genital structures (adnexa, vagina, broad ligament)
IIA	Tumor involving genital structures with low-risk prognostic score
IIB	Tumor extends outside of the uterus but limited to genital structures with high-risk prognostic score
Stage III	Tumor extends to the lungs with or without known genital tract involvement
IIIA	Tumor extends to the lungs with or without genital tract involvement and with low-risk prognostic score
IIIB	Tumor extends to the lungs with or without genital tract involvement and with high-risk prognostic score
Stage IV	Tumor involves all other metastatic sites
IVA	Tumor involves all other metastatic sites with low-risk prognostic score
IVB	Tumor involves all other metastatic sites with high-risk prognostic score

Note: Stages I to IV are subdivided into A (low risk) and B (high risk) according to the prognostic score (see below).

Prognostic Score^{3,4,5}

Prognostic Factor	Prognostic Score			
	0	1	2	3
Age	<40	≥40		
Antecedent pregnancy	H. mole	Abortion	Term pregnancy	
Months from index pregnancy	<4	4 – <7	7 – 12	>12
Pretreatment serum hCG (IU/ml)	<10 ³	10 ³ – <10 ⁴	10 ⁴ – <10 ⁵	≥10 ⁵
Largest tumor size including uterus	<3 cm	3 – <5 cm	≥5 cm	
Sites of metastasis	Lung	Spleen, kidney	Gastrointestinal tract	Liver, brain
Number of metastasis		1 – 4	5 – 8	>8
Previous failed chemotherapy			Single drug	2 or more drugs

Risk Categories

Total prognostic score 6 or less is low risk (add “A” to FIGO Stage).

Total prognostic score 7 or more is high risk (add “B” to FIGO Stage).

Stage Groupings[#]

FIGO Stage	TNM Classification	Risk Category
Stage I	T1 M0	unknown
Stage IA	T1 M0	low
Stage IB	T1 M0	high
Stage II	T2 M0	unknown
Stage IIA	T2 M0	low
Stage IIB	T2 M0	high
Stage III	Any T M1a	unknown
Stage IIIA	Any T M1a	low
Stage IIIB	Any T M1a	high
Stage IV	Any T M1b	unknown
Stage IVA	Any T M1b	low
Stage IVB	Any T M1b	high

[#] The T and M categories are defined to correspond to the FIGO stages.

In determining the risk category, the following factors are not surgical pathology and are not considered required elements:

Antecedent pregnancy

Months from index pregnancy

Pretreatment serum human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG)

Previous failed chemotherapy

TNM Descriptors

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y,” “r,” and “a” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or after initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor before multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

The “a” prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

Additional DescriptorsResidual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (eg, surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

RX	Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed
R0	No residual tumor
R1	Microscopic residual tumor
R2	Macroscopic residual tumor

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

Lymph-Vascular Invasion

Lymph-vascular invasion (LVI) indicates whether microscopic lymph-vascular invasion is identified. LVI includes lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion, or lymph-vascular invasion. According to AJCC/UICC convention, LVI does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category.

In summary, the following factors should be considered and noted in reporting:

1. Prior chemotherapy for known gestational trophoblastic tumors should be reported.
2. Benign placental site lesions (exaggerated placental site and placental site nodule) should be reported separately and are not staged.
3. Histological verification of disease is not required when the human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) is abnormally elevated.

4. TNM and FIGO staging applies to choriocarcinoma, invasive hydatidiform mole, placental site trophoblastic tumor, and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor.
5. In contrast to other sites, an N classification (regional lymph node status) does not apply to gestational trophoblastic tumors.

References

1. Kurman RJ, ed. *Blaustein's Pathology of the Female Genital Tract*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2002.
2. Scully RE, Bonfiglio TA, Kurman RJ, Silverberg SG, Wilkinson EJ. *World Health Organization International Histological Classification of Tumours: Histological Typing of Female Genital Tract Tumours*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 1994.
3. Edge SB, Byrd DR, Carducci MA, Compton CC, eds. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*. 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer; 2009.
4. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz M, Wittekind Ch, eds. *UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours*. 7th ed. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss; in press.
5. Ngan HYS, Odicino F, Maisonneuve P, et al. Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia. FIGO 6th Annual Report on the results of treatment in gynecological cancer. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2006 Nov;95(suppl 1):S193-203.
6. Shih IM, Kurman RJ. Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor: a neoplasm distinct from choriocarcinoma and placental site trophoblastic tumor simulating carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1998;22:1393-1403.
7. Tsui-Lien M, Seidman JD, Kurman RJ, Shih IM. Cyclin E and p16 immunoreactivity in epithelioid trophoblastic tumor: an aid in differential diagnosis. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2006, 30:1105-1110.
8. Kalhor N, Ramirez PT, Deavers MT, Malpica A, Silva EG. Immunohistochemical studies of trophoblastic tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2009;33:633-638.
9. Young RH, Kurman RJ, Scully RE. Placental Site Nodules and Plaques: A clinicopathologic analysis of 20 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1990;14:1001-1009
10. McConnell TG, Murphy KM, Hafez M, Vang R, Ronnett BM. Diagnosis and subclassification of hydatidiform moles using p57 immunohistochemistry and molecular genotyping: validation and prospective analysis in routine and consultation practice settings with development of an algorithmic approach. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2009;33:805-17
11. Lage JM, Minamiguchi S, Richardson MS. Gestational trophoblastic diseases: update on new immunohistochemical findings. *Curr Diagn Pathol*. 2003;9:1-10.
12. Shih IM, Kurman RJ. Ki-67 labeling index in the differential diagnosis of exaggerated placental site, placental site trophoblastic tumor, and choriocarcinoma: a double immunohistochemical staining technique using Ki-67 and Mel-CAM antibodies. *Hum Pathol*. 1998;28:27-33.
13. Shih IM, Kurman RJ. p63 expression is useful in the distinction of epithelioid trophoblastic and placental site trophoblastic tumors by profiling trophoblastic subpopulations. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2004;28:1177-1183.
14. Wells M. The pathology of gestational trophoblastic disease: recent advances. *Pathology*. 2007;39:88-96.