Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Invasive Carcinoma of Renal Tubular Origin

Wilms tumors and tumors of urothelial origin are not included.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition
Protocol web posting date: February 1, 2011

Procedures
• Incisional Biopsy (Needle or Wedge)
• Partial Nephrectomy
• Radical Nephrectomy
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The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the Protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from CAP. Applications for such a license should be addressed to the SNOMED Terminology Solutions division of the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified Protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the “Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)” portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the checklist elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.
CAP Kidney Protocol Revision History

Version Code
The definition of the version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: Kidney 3.1.0.0

Summary of Changes
The following changes have been made since the October 2009 release.

Nephrectomy, Partial or Radical, Checklist

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
Specify: Number examined / Number involved, has been changed to:

___ No nodes submitted or found

*Number of Lymph Nodes Examined*
Specify: _____
___ Number cannot be determined (explain): ______________________

*Number of Lymph Nodes Involved*
Specify: _____
___ Number cannot be determined (explain): ______________________
Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)

Protocol web posting date: February 1, 2011

KIDNEY: Biopsy

Note: checklist is optional for biopsy specimens

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

*Procedure
* ___ Incisional biopsy, needle
* ___ Incisional biopsy, wedge
* ___ Other (specify): ___________________________
* ___ Not specified

*Specimen Laterality
* ___ Right
* ___ Left
* ___ Not specified

*Histologic Type (Note A)
* ___ Clear cell renal cell carcinoma
* ___ Multilocular clear cell renal cell carcinoma
* ___ Papillary renal cell carcinoma
* ___ Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma
* ___ Carcinoma of the collecting ducts of Bellini
* ___ Renal medullary carcinoma
* ___ Translocation carcinoma (Xp11 or others)
* ___ Carcinoma associated with neuroblastoma
* ___ Mucinous tubular and spindle cell carcinoma
* ___ Tubulocystic renal cell carcinoma
* ___ Renal cell carcinoma, unclassified
* ___ Other (specify): ___________________________

*Sarcomatoid Features (Note B)
* ___ Not identified
* ___ Present
  *Specify percentage of sarcomatoid element: _____%

*Histologic Grade (Fuhrman Nuclear Grade) (Note C)
* ___ Not applicable
* ___ GX: Cannot be assessed
* ___ G1: Nuclei round, uniform, approximately 10 µm; nucleoli inconspicuous or absent
* ___ G2: Nuclei slightly irregular, approximately 15 µm; nucleoli evident
* ___ G3: Nuclei very irregular, approximately 20 µm; nucleoli large and prominent
* ___ G4: Nuclei bizarre and multilobated, 20 µm or greater, nucleoli prominent, chromatin clumped

* Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Additional Pathologic Findings

* None identified
* Other pathology present (specify): ___________________________

Comment(s)
Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)
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KIDNEY: Nephrectomy, Partial or Radical

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Procedure (Note D)
___ Partial nephrectomy
___ Radical nephrectomy
___ Other (specify): ____________________________
___ Not specified

Specimen Laterality
___ Right
___ Left
___ Not specified

*Tumor Site (select all that apply)
*___ Upper pole
*___ Middle
*___ Lower pole
*___ Other (specify): ____________________________
*___ Not specified

Tumor Size (largest tumor if multiple)
Greatest dimension: ___ cm
*Additional dimensions: ___ x ___ cm
___ Cannot be determined (see “Comment”)

Tumor Focality
___ Unifocal
___ Multifocal

Macroscopic Extent of Tumor (select all that apply) (Note E)
___ Tumor limited to kidney
___ Tumor extension into perinephric tissues
___ Tumor extension into renal sinus
___ Tumor extension beyond Gerota’s fascia
___ Tumor extension into major veins (renal vein or its segmental (muscle containing)
  branches, inferior vena cava)
___ Tumor extension into pelvicaliceal system
___ Tumor extension into adrenal gland
  ___ Direct invasion (T4)
  ___ Noncontiguous (M1)
___ Tumor extension into other organ(s)/structure(s) (specify): ________________

* Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Histologic Type (Note A)
- Clear cell renal cell carcinoma
- Multilocular clear cell renal cell carcinoma
- Papillary renal cell carcinoma
- Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma
- Carcinoma of the collecting ducts of Bellini
- Renal medullary carcinoma
- Translocation carcinoma (Xp11 or others)
- Carcinoma associated with neuroblastoma
- Mucinous tubular and spindle cell carcinoma
- Tubulocystic renal cell carcinoma
- Renal cell carcinoma, unclassified
- Other (specify): ________________________

Sarcomatoid Features (Note B)
- Not identified
- Present
  Specify percentage of sarcomatoid element: ____%

*Tumor Necrosis (any amount)
* Not identified
* Present

Histologic Grade (Fuhrman Nuclear Grade) (Note C)
- Not applicable
- GX: Cannot be assessed
- G1: Nuclei round, uniform, approximately 10 µm; nucleoli inconspicuous or absent
- G2: Nuclei slightly irregular, approximately 15 µm; nucleoli evident
- G3: Nuclei very irregular, approximately 20 µm; nucleoli large and prominent
- G4: Nuclei bizarre and multilobated, 20 µm or greater, nucleoli prominent, chromatin clumped
- Other (specify): ________________________

Microscopic Tumor Extension (select all that apply)
- Tumor limited to kidney
- Tumor extension into perinephric tissue (beyond renal capsule)
- Tumor extension into renal sinus
- Tumor extension beyond Gerota’s fascia
- Tumor extension into major vein (renal vein or its segmental (muscle containing) branches, inferior vena cava)
- Tumor extension into pelvicalyceal system
- Tumor extension into adrenal gland
  - Direct invasion (T4)
  - Noncontiguous (M1)
- Tumor extension into other organ(s)/structure(s) (specify): _______________
Margins (select all that apply) (Note F)

- Cannot be assessed
- Margins uninvolved by invasive carcinoma
- Margin(s) involved by invasive carcinoma
  - Renal parenchymal margin (partial nephrectomy only)
  - Renal capsular margin (partial nephrectomy only)
  - Perinephric fat margin (partial nephrectomy only)
  - Gerota's fascial margin
  - Renal vein margin
  - Ureteral margin
  - Other (specify): ____________________________

*Lymph-Vascular Invasion*
(excluding renal vein and its muscle containing segmental branches and inferior vena cava)

* Not identified
* Present
* Indeterminate

Pathologic Staging (pTNM) (Note G)

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)
- m (multiple primary tumors)
- r (recurrent)
- y (posttreatment)

Primary Tumor (pT)
- pTX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
- pT1: Tumor 7 cm or less in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- pT1a: Tumor 4 cm or less in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- pT1b: Tumor more than 4 cm but not more than 7 cm in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- pT2: Tumor more than 7 cm in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- pT2a: Tumor more than 7 cm but less than or equal to 10 cm in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- pT2b: Tumor more than 10 cm, limited to the kidney
- pT3: Tumor extends into major veins or perinephric tissues but not into the ipsilateral adrenal gland and not beyond Gerota’s fascia
- pT3a: Tumor grossly extends into the renal vein or its segmental (muscle containing) branches, or tumor invades perirenal and/or renal sinus fat but not beyond Gerota’s fascia
- pT3b: Tumor grossly extends into the vena cava below the diaphragm
- pT3c: Tumor grossly extends into vena cava above diaphragm or invades the wall of the vena cava
- pT4: Tumor invades beyond Gerota’s fascia (including contiguous extension into the ipsilateral adrenal gland)
Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
   ___ pNX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
   ___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
   ___ pN1: Metastasis in regional lymph node(s)
   ___ No nodes submitted or found

Number of Lymph Nodes Examined
Specify: ____
   ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _______________________

Number of Lymph Nodes Involved
Specify: ____
   ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _______________________

Distant Metastasis (pM)
   ___ Not applicable
   ___ pM1: Distant metastasis

Pathologic Findings in Nonneoplastic Kidney (select all that apply) (Note H)
   ___ Insufficient tissue (partial nephrectomy specimen with <5 mm of adjacent nonneoplastic kidney)
   ___ Significant pathologic alterations
   ___ None identified
      ___ Glomerular disease (specify type): _______________________
      ___ Tubulointerstitial disease (specify type): ___________________
      ___ Vascular disease (specify type): _______________________
      ___ Other (specify): _______________________

* Other Tumors and/or Tumor-like Lesions (select all that apply)
*   ___ Cyst(s) (specify type): _______________________
*   ___ Tubular (papillary) adenoma(s)
*   ___ Other (specify): _______________________

*Comment(s)
Explanatory Notes

A. Histologic Type
The histopathologic classification published by the World Health Organization (WHO)\(^1\) and the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology\(^2\) is recommended for usage.

Clear cell renal cell carcinoma
Multilocular clear cell renal cell carcinoma
Papillary renal cell carcinoma\(^\#\)
Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma
Carcinoma of the collecting ducts of Bellini
Renal medullary carcinoma
Xp11 translocation carcinomas
Carcinoma associated with neuroblastoma
Mucinous tubular and spindle cell carcinoma
Tubulocystic renal cell carcinoma \(^##\)
Renal cell carcinoma, unclassified

\(^\#\) Papillary carcinoma is commonly separated into type 1 and type 2 based mainly on cytomorphological features.\(^1\)

\(^##\) Tubulocystic carcinoma is a distinct low-grade variant of renal cell carcinoma that was not listed in the 2004 WHO classification. Recent papers have elucidated the nature of this tumor.\(^3-5\) This tumor had been previously referred to as a low-grade collecting duct carcinoma.\(^6\) Additionally, there are a variety of other uncommon and emerging carcinomas described in the recent literature.\(^7\)

Occasionally more than one histologic type of carcinoma occurs within the same kidney specimen. Each tumor type should be separately recorded along with its associated prognostic factors.

B. Sarcomatoid Features
Sarcomatoid carcinoma is not a specific morphogenetic subtype of renal cell carcinoma but is considered as a pattern of dedifferentiation.\(^1,2\) Sarcomatoid change in a renal cell carcinoma is associated with an adverse outcome.\(^5\) Sarcomatoid morphology may be found in renal cell carcinomas of clear cell, papillary, chromophobe, collecting duct, and unclassified subtypes.\(^9-14\) When the background carcinoma subtype is recognized, it should be specified under histologic type (see Note A). Pure sarcomatoid carcinoma or sarcomatoid carcinoma associated with epithelial elements that do not conform to usual renal carcinoma cell types should be considered as unclassified renal cell carcinoma.

There is some indication that the percentage of sarcomatoid component in a renal cell carcinoma has prognostic importance.\(^13,14\)

C. Histologic Grade
The following grading scheme for renal cell carcinoma developed by Fuhrman et al is recommended and shown below.\(^15\) Beyond clear cell renal cell carcinoma, Fuhrman grading has not been fully established for each histologic subtype of renal parenchymal neoplasia.\(^16\) The protocol does not preclude the use of other grading schemes.\(^16,17\)
system of grading should be specified in the pathologist’s report. Scoring is based on the worst (highest) grade present in the tumor even if it constitutes only a minor component.

**Fuhrman Grading System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nuclei round, uniform, approximately 10 µm in diameter; nucleoli inconspicuous or absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nuclei slightly irregular, approximately 15 µm in diameter; nucleoli evident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nuclei very irregular, approximately 20 µm in diameter; nucleoli large and prominent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nuclei bizarre and multilobated, 20 µm or greater in diameter, nucleoli prominent, chromatin clumped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D. Specimen Type**

A standard radical nephrectomy specimen consists of the entire kidney including the calyces, pelvis, and a variable length of ureter. The adrenal gland is usually removed en bloc with the kidney. The entire perirenal fatty tissue is removed to the level of Gerota’s fascia, a membranous structure that is similar to the consistency of the renal capsule that encases the kidney in perirenal fat. Variable lengths of the major renal vessels at the hilus are submitted.

Regional lymphadenectomy is not generally performed even with a radial nephrectomy. A few lymph nodes may occasionally be seen in the renal hilus around major vessels. Other regional lymph nodes (eg, paracaval, para-aortic, and retroperineal) may be submitted separately.

A partial nephrectomy specimen may vary from a simple enucleation of the tumor to part of a kidney containing variable portions of calyceal or renal pelvic collecting system. The perirenal fat immediately overlying the resected portion of the kidney but not to a level of Gerota’s fascia is usually included.

**E. Macrosopic Extent of Tumor**

A careful gross analysis and description of tumor extension in a nephrectomy specimen is important and should guide blocking of tissue samples for histologic assessment. Careful documentation of the tumor extension beyond kidney into perinephric fat and Gerota’s fascia provides important staging information. Renal sinus fat involvement in renal cell carcinoma is an under-recognized phenomenon. The renal sinus is an important pathway of spread of renal cell carcinoma (Figure 1, A and B). The renal sinus fat should be carefully assessed and generously sampled in order to detect renal sinus fat involvement. There is evolving literature suggesting that renal sinus fat involvement predicts a more aggressive outcome than peripheral perinephric fat invasion. When renal carcinoma involves adrenal gland, it is important to document whether the involvement is contiguous spread of tumor or a separate (noncontiguous) nodule of carcinoma, the latter representing metastatic disease (pM1) (Figure 2).
Figure 1. A, Diagram showing the renal sinus fat (S) and its rich venous system that envelops the collecting system. The renal capsule terminates (arrow) just inside the vestibule of the hilus. B, A renal malignancy is constrained by the renal capsule (arrow), yet no fibrous capsule impedes its growth into the vascular tissue of the renal sinus (curved arrows). From Bonsib et al. Reproduced with permission of the American Journal of Surgical Pathology. © 2000 Wolters Kluwer Health.
Figure 2. Diagram showing relationship between local tumor extension and pT designation. When a tumor shows direct invasion into the perirenal fat or renal sinus fat it is designated as pT3a. A tumor that directly invades the adrenal gland is designated as pT4 while a tumor that shows discontinuous (noncontiguous) involvement of the adrenal gland is considered metastatic (M1).

F. Margins
In a partial nephrectomy specimen, the renal parenchymal margin should be inked and histologically assessed. Most partial nephrectomy specimens also contain a portion of perinephric fat overlying the tumor site. The perirenal fat margin should also be assessed. In situations where no perirenal fat is present, the renal capsular margin should be inked and examined histologically.

In radical nephrectomy specimens the ureteric, major vascular (renal vein, renal artery) and soft tissue (Gerota’s fascia, renal sinus) margins should be examined and documented in the report.

G. TNM and Stage Groupings
The TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) for renal cell carcinoma is recommended.21,22

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation “T” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.
Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically unfeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

Stage Groupings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>T1 or T2</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>N0 or N1</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# M0 is defined as no distant metastasis.

TNM Descriptors

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y,” “r,” and “a” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval, and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

The “a” prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

Additional Descriptors

Residual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (eg, surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RX</td>
<td>Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>No residual tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Microscopic residual tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Macroscopic residual tumor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification...
is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

Lymph-Vascular Invasion
By AJCC/UICC convention, vessel invasion (lymphatic or venous) does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category. In all other cases, lymphatic and venous invasion by tumor are coded separately.

H. Pathologic Findings in Nonneoplastic Kidney
It is important to recognize that medical kidney diseases may be present in nonneoplastic renal tissue in nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy specimens. Arterionephrosclerosis (or hypertensive nephropathy) and diabetic nephropathy are seen in approximately 30% and 20% of cases, respectively. Other medical renal diseases that have been identified include thrombotic microangiopathy, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, and IgA nephropathy. The findings of greater than 20% global glomerulosclerosis or advanced diffuse diabetic glomerulosclerosis are predictive of significant decline in renal function 6 months after radical nephrectomy. Evaluation for medical renal disease should be performed in each case; PAS and/or Jones methenamine silver stains should applied if necessary. Consultation with a nephropathologist should be pursued as needed.
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