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Peritoneum • Other

Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)

PERITONEUM: Resection

Patient Name:
Surgical pathology Number:

Note: Check 1 response unless otherwise indicated.

MACROSCOPIC

Tumor Site(s)
Specify, if known: ______________________________
___ Not specified

Organ(s) Included (if applicable):
Specify: ________________________________________

Tumor Size
Greatest dimension: ___cm
*Additional dimensions: ____ x ____cm
___ Cannot be determined (see Comment)

MICROSCOPIC

Histologic Type
___ Malignant mesothelioma, epithelial
___ Malignant mesothelioma, sarcomatous (spindle cell)
___ Malignant mesothelioma, biphasic
___ Malignant mesothelioma, other (specify): ____________________________
___ Serous borderline tumor (of low malignant potential)
___ Serous carcinoma
___ Other malignant tumor of Mullerian type (specify): ____________________________
___ Desmoplastic small round cell tumor
___ Other (specify): ______________________________________
___ Malignant tumor, type cannot be determined

* Data elements with asterisks are not required for accreditation purposes for the Commission on Cancer. These elements may be clinically important, but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management. Alternatively, the necessary data may not be available to the pathologist at the time of pathologic assessment of this specimen.
Histologic Grade
___ Not applicable (borderline neoplasms and mesotheliomas)
___ GX: Cannot be assessed
___ G1: Well differentiated
___ G2: Moderately differentiated
___ G3: Poorly differentiated
___ Other (specify): __________________________

*Venous/Lymphatic (Large/Small Vessel) Invasion (V/L)
*___ Absent
*___ Present
*___ Indeterminate

*Additional Pathologic Findings (check all that apply)
*___ None
*___ Ferruginous bodies
*___ Endosalpingiosis
*___ Endometriosis
*___ Other (specify): __________________________

*Comment(s)
Background Documentation

I. Cytologic Material
   A. Clinical Information
      1. Patient identification
         a. Name
         b. Identification number
         c. Age (birth date)
         d. Sex
         e. Location (eg, ward, clinic, office)
      2. Responsible physician(s)
      3. Date of procedure
      4. Clinical information
         a. Relevant history
            (1) present/past occupation
            (2) asbestos exposure
            (3) radiation exposure
            (4) evidence of tumor(s) elsewhere
            (5) prior tumor(s)
            (6) prior operation(s)
         b. Relevant findings (eg, radiologic studies, laboratory data, ascites [duration])
         c. Clinical diagnosis
         d. Operative findings (eg, unifocal, multifocal, or diffuse)
         e. Type(s) or site(s) of specimen(s)
            (1) ascitic fluid
            (2) peritoneal washings (specify site[s])
            (3) brushings (specify site[s])
            (4) fine-needle aspirate (specify site[s])
            (5) cytology preparation of tissue (touch preparation) (specify site[s])
            (6) other
   B. Macroscopic Examination
      1. Specimen
         a. Unfixed/fixed (specify fixative)
         b. Number of slides received, if appropriate
         c. Quantity and appearance of fluid specimen, if appropriate
         d. Other (eg, cytologic preparation from tissue)
      2. Material submitted for microscopic evaluation (eg, smear, cytocentrifuge, touch or filter preparation, cell block)
   C. Microscopic Examination
      1. Adequacy of specimen for evaluation (if unsatisfactory or limited, specify reason)
      2. Tumor, if present
         a. Histologic type, if possible (Note A)
         b. Other characteristics (eg, grade, necrosis) (Note B)
      3. Additional cytologic findings
      4. Special studies (specify) (eg, cytochemistry, immunocytochemistry, electron microscopy, asbestos fiber count) (Note C)
      5. Pathologic stage (Note D)
      6. Comments
         a. Correlation with intraprocedural consultation, as appropriate
         b. Correlation with other specimens, as appropriate
         c. Correlation with clinical information, as appropriate
II. Biopsy

A. Clinical Information
   1. Patient identification
      a. Name
      b. Identification number
      c. Age (birth date)
      d. Sex
      e. Location (eg, ward, clinic, office)
   2. Responsible physician(s)
   3. Date of procedure
   4. Clinical information
      a. Relevant history
         (1) present/past occupation
         (2) asbestos exposure
         (3) radiation exposure
         (4) evidence of tumor(s) elsewhere
         (5) prior tumor(s)
         (6) prior operation(s)
      b. Relevant findings (eg, radiologic studies, laboratory data, ascites [duration])
      c. Clinical diagnosis
      d. Procedure
      e. Operative findings (eg, unifocal, multifocal, or diffuse)
      f. Anatomic site(s) of specimen(s)

B. Macroscopic Examination
   1. Specimen
      a. Unfixed/fixed (specify fixative)
      b. Number of pieces
      c. Size or size range
      d. Descriptive features
   2. Submit entire specimen(s) for microscopic evaluation
   3. Results of intraoperative consultation

C. Microscopic Examination
   1. Tumor
      a. Histologic type (Note A)
      b. Histologic grade, if appropriate (Note B)
      c. Other features of possible prognostic or therapeutic significance
   2. Additional pathologic findings (eg, endosalpingiosis and relation to tumor, if pertinent)
   3. Special studies (specify) (eg, histochemistry, immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, asbestos fiber count) (Note C)
   4. Pathologic stage (Note D)
   5. Comments
      a. Correlation with intraprocedural consultation
      b. Correlation with other specimens, as appropriate
      c. Correlation with clinical information, as appropriate

III. Resection

A. Clinical Information
   1. Patient identification
      a. Name
      b. Identification number
      c. Age (birth date)
      d. Sex
e. Location (eg, ward, clinic, office)
2. Responsible physician(s)
3. Date of procedure
4. Clinical information
   a. Relevant history
      (1) present/past occupation
      (2) asbestos exposure
      (3) radiation exposure
      (4) evidence of tumor(s) elsewhere
      (5) prior tumor(s)
      (6) prior operation(s)
   b. Relevant findings (eg, radiologic studies, laboratory data, ascites [duration])
   c. Clinical diagnosis
   d. Procedure
   e. Operative findings (eg, unifocal, multifocal, or diffuse)
   f. Anatomic site(s) of specimen(s)

B. Macroscopic Examination
1. Specimen
   a. Organs/tissues received (specify)
   b. Unfixed/fixed (specify fixative)
   c. Number of pieces
   d. Dimensions (measure attached tissues individually)
   e. Orientation of specimen, if indicated by surgeon
   f. Descriptive features
      (1) peritoneal tissue(s)
         i. outer surface (normal, adhesions, roughening, granularity, tumor)
         ii. sectioned surface(s)
         iii. size of tumor, if different from size of entire specimen; descriptive features; identification of areas for special study (eg, resection margin[s], if pertinent)
         iv. other lesions
      (2) organ(s) removed
         i. outer surface (normal, adhesions, roughening, granularity, tumor)
         ii. sectioned surface
            (a) tumor(s) dimension(s) and distribution on or within organ, descriptive features, identification of areas for special study (eg, resection margin[s], if pertinent)
            (b) other lesions
2. Tissues submitted for microscopic evaluation

C. Microscopic Examination
1. Tumor
   a. Histologic type (Note A)
   b. Histologic grade, if appropriate (Note B)
   c. Other features of possible prognostic or therapeutic significance (eg, localized, diffuse)
2. Status of resection margins, if pertinent
3. Additional pathologic findings (eg, endosalpingiosis)
4. Special studies (specify) (eg, histochemistry, immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, asbestos fiber count)
5. Pathologic stage (Note D)
6. Comments
   a. Correlation with intraprocedural consultation, as appropriate
   b. Correlation with other specimens, as appropriate
   c. Correlation with clinical information, as appropriate
Explanatory Notes

A. Histologic Type
This protocol refers only to primary borderline and malignant epithelial tumors of the peritoneum. Secondary tumors, for example, those causing pseudomyxoma peritonei (almost always of appendiceal origin), are not addressed. However, in some cases "peritoneal spread" of a serous borderline tumor may actually reflect a primary peritoneal tumor rather than a metastasis from the ovary.

Classification of Peritoneal Tumors
Benign
Adenomatoid tumor
Benign multicystic mesothelioma (multilocular peritoneal inclusion cyst)
Mesothelial cyst(s) (unilocular) (free or attached)
Well-differentiated papillary mesothelioma
Solitary fibrous tumor (fibrous mesothelioma) (usually benign)

Malignant
Diffuse malignant mesothelioma
Epithelial type
Sarcomatous type
Biphasic type
Rare types
Serous tumor of borderline malignancy (of low malignant potential)\(^1,2\) ##
Serous carcinoma\(^3,5\) ###
Malignant tumors of other Mullerian types
Desmoplastic small round cell tumor
Sarcomas

# Rare types include desmoplastic, small cell, lymphohistiocytoid, deciduoid, and undifferentiated types.

## When this tumor involves the extraovarian peritoneum significantly and the ovarian surface minimally or not at all, it is generally considered to be of peritoneal origin.

### The Gynecological Oncology Group has adopted the following criteria for the diagnosis of primary peritoneal serous carcinoma:
1. Both ovaries are either normal in size or enlarged by a benign process. In the judgement of the surgeon and the pathologist, the bulk of the tumor is on the peritoneum, and the extent of tumor involvement at 1 or more extraovarian sites is greater than that on the surface of or within either ovary.
2. Microscopic examination of the ovaries reveals: (a) no tumor; (b) tumor confined to the surface epithelium, with no evidence of cortical invasion; (c) tumor involving the ovarian surface and the underlying cortical stroma, but less than 5 x 5 mm in diameter; or (d) tumor less than 5 x 5 mm within the ovarian substance, with or without surface involvement.
3. The histologic and cytologic characteristics of the tumor are predominantly serous and similar or identical to those of ovarian serous papillary carcinoma of any grade.
4. If an oophorectomy has been performed in the past, a confident diagnosis of primary peritoneal serous carcinoma requires 1 of the following: (a) a pathology report to document the absence of carcinoma in the ovarian specimen, with review of all the slides if the oophorectomy has been performed within 5 years of the current procedure; (b) if the oophorectomy has been performed more than 5 years before the
current procedure, the pathology report of the specimen should be obtained, and the slides should be reviewed if still available. The peritoneal tumor should be interpreted in light of the ovarian findings.

B. Histologic Grade
There is no established grading system for malignant mesotheliomas. Serous and other Mullerian-type tumors can be graded according to the criteria used for similar tumors in the female genital tract as shown below. (For further detail, see Ovary protocol.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Well differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated (tumors with minimal differentiation seen in very small foci)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Special Studies
Histochemical, immunohistochemical, and electron microscopic studies are helpful to routine microscopic evaluation in the diagnosis of mesothelioma. These tumors are usually mucicarmine and Pas-D negative. They may be positive for Alcian Blue or Colloidal Iron stains. Mesotheliomas usually are positive for different keratins, including cytokeratins 5/6, EMA, thrombomodulin, WT1, and calretinin. They are usually negative for CEA, B72.3, BER-EP4, and CD15 (Leu-M1), although they may be positive for single antibodies. In all these cases, a panel of antibodies is recommended. (For further detail, see Thoracic Mesothelium protocol.)

D. Staging of Peritoneal Tumors
There is no widely accepted staging system for peritoneal tumors, but their extent may have prognostic significance. Thus, it is important to determine whether a mesothelioma is unifocal, multifocal, or diffuse; and whether there are lymph node or distant metastases. Peritoneal serous carcinomas are generally staged as though they were stage II to stage IV ovarian cancers. (For further detail, see Ovary protocol.)
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